

Kluwer Mediation Blog

Presumptive mediation

Rafal Morek (DWF LLP) · Wednesday, September 18th, 2019

Is this yet another case in which New York is setting global trends? By the end of the year, the state courts in New York are due to have a system in place requiring that civil and commercial disputes be resolved through presumptive mediation. “*Presumptive mediation*” means an “automatic”, pre-trial, statewide program of court-sponsored ADR. In this context, the term ADR, and specifically its “alternative” first “A”, sounds increasingly like an oxymoron, as mediation reclaims its central position in the mainstream of the justice system.



While similar programs already exist (or did exist) throughout some state courts in New York (see e.g. another [post on this blog dated 2014](#)), the new scheme will mandate presumptive mediation statewide. [The Report of the New York State ADR Advisory Committee](#) released earlier this year offers a broad overview of court-sponsored mediation programs, including some empirical evidence that “*court-sponsored mediation works*”. The top two indicators of the effectiveness of mediation: participant satisfaction rates and settlement rates, are typically at levels above 60%.

[The existing program of the New Jersey courts](#), which was first introduced as a pilot

on July 1, 1995, belongs to the most successful ones. There also exist ADR schemes tailored for specific categories of disputes, for example, [custody and visitation matters](#) or [attorney-client fee disputes](#).

However, the majority of the existing programs currently require either the parties to opt in to mediation, or individual judges to refer the parties to mediation in individual cases. The new program will rely on “*automatic presumptive referrals (subject to appropriate opt-out limitations) of identified types of disputes.*” While the Report does not use the term “mandatory mediation”, but “presumptive mediation”, in some respects the concept does resemble some existing schemes typically referred to as *mandatory*.

The program allows for adapting to local conditions and circumstances throughout the state. Administrative Judges are to formulate the individual plans taking the fullest advantage of a wide range of existing resources, including volunteer mediators and neutrals on court rosters, judges, nonjudicial staff, judicial hearing officers and community dispute resolution centres.

In Brooklyn the new presumptive mediation program began on September 3 with a broad spectrum encompassing civil disputes. The fact that the program is “court-sponsored” means, among other things, that “*each case gets one free hour of a mediator’s services*” at no cost. After the first session, the parties can decide to continue with a mediator or to move towards a trial.

Fingers crossed! Looking forward to seeing more and more New Yorkers presuming that mediation is THE method for resolving disputes!

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Mediation Blog, please subscribe [here](#).



The Leading Online Service
for International Arbitration

300+ Authors
Nearly 3,000 awards
11,000+ court decisions
2,250+ BITS

Request a free
demo now
KluwerArbitration.com

Wolters Kluwer

This entry was posted on Wednesday, September 18th, 2019 at 10:51 pm and is filed

under ADR, Court Procedure and Litigation, Domestic Courts, Future of mediation, International Mediation, Legal Practice, Mandatory mediation, Mediation Schemes (In Courts, etc.), Opt-Out, Particular Sectors (e.g. Construction, Banking, etc.), Promoting Mediation, Reform, United States

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.